

### **REMARKS**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 05/29/2008. Reconsideration in light of the amendments and remarks made herein is respectfully requested.

#### ***Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103***

3. The Examiner rejects claims 1 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Voit** et al. (U.S.6,870,827) in view of **Farris et al.** (U.S.6,574,216).

Applicant has amended the claims to include elements that the Examiner indicated are allowable as discussed below.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Voit** et al. in view of **Farris et al.**

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Applicant note with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter. The Examiner objects to claims 2 and 13 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 12 to include all of the limitations of dependent claims 2 and 13 and cancelled claims 2 and 13. Applicant respectfully requests that independent claims 1 and 12 be allowed.

6. Applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's allowance of claims 3-11, 14-25.

***Conclusion***

Applicant reserves all rights with respect to the applicability of the doctrine of equivalents. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,  
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 08/28/2008

By */James Henry/*  
James Henry  
Reg. No. 41,064  
Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)